UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 1:22-CV-22671-ALTONAGA/TORRES

DELIO BATISTA,	
CARLOS LOPEZ, MARIANA LOPEZ and	
RAFAELA VALIENTE,	
Plaintiffs,	
vs.	
AVANT ASSURANCE INC., REINIER CORTES, AND ANDREA GONZALEZ QUINTERO),
Defendants.	/
Language proposed only by I	Plaintiffs is boldfaced and underlined. Defendants is boldfaced and italicized. OGATORIES TO THE JURY
Language proposed only by I	Defendants is boldfaced and italicized. OGATORIES TO THE JURY
Language proposed only by I SPECIAL INTERR Do you find from a preponde	Defendants is boldfaced and italicized. OGATORIES TO THE JURY
Language proposed only by I SPECIAL INTERR Do you find from a preponde	Defendants is boldfaced and italicized. OGATORIES TO THE JURY erance of the evidence:
Language proposed only by I SPECIAL INTERR Do you find from a preponde	Defendants is boldfaced and italicized. OGATORIES TO THE JURY erance of the evidence: O Batista mployee covered under the FLSA as
Language proposed only by Institute Special Internation Do you find from a preponded Delication Del	Defendants is boldfaced and italicized. OGATORIES TO THE JURY erance of the evidence: O Batista mployee covered under the FLSA as
Language proposed only by Institute Special Internation Do you find from a preponded Delication Del	Defendants is boldfaced and italicized. OGATORIES TO THE JURY Prance of the evidence: O Batista Imployee covered under the FLSA as O, of: for each you find was an employer):
Do you find from a preponded Delication 1. That Delio Batista was an example of the defined in 29 U.S.C. 203(e)(1) (Please mark "YES" or "NO" to the second of the secon	Defendants is boldfaced and italicized. OGATORIES TO THE JURY Prance of the evidence: O Batista Imployee covered under the FLSA as O, of: for each you find was an employer):

	Andrea Gonzalez Capote
9	If you answered "YES" to one or more of the above, please answer Question 2. If you answered "NO" to all the above, please skip to Question 4.
2. 7	That Delio Batista was exempt from the overtime pay provisions of the
]	FLSA pursuant to the exemption:
	Answer "YES" or "NO"
	If you answered "NO" to Question 2, please answer Question 3. If you answered "YES" to Question 2, please skip to Question 4.
3.	That Defendants failed to pay Delio Batista the overtime wages
1	required by law:
	Answer "YES" or "NO"
	If "Yes", in what amount
j	Please answer Question 4.
4. T	That Defendants failed to pay Delio Batista bonuses and/or
(commissions under their breach of contract-related counts:
	Answer "YES" or "NO"
	If "Yes", in what amount
Ī	Please answer Question 5.
	<u>Carlos Lopez</u>

5. That Carlos Lopez was an employee covered under the FLSA as defined in 29 U.S.C. 203(e)(1), of:

	(Please mark "YES" or "NO" for each you find was an employer):
	Avant Assurance Inc.
	Reinier Cortes
	Andrea Gonzalez Capote
	If you answered "YES" to one or more of the above, please answer Question 6. If you answered "NO" to all the above, please skip to Question 8.
6.	That Carlos Lopez was exempt from the overtime pay provisions of the
	FLSA pursuant to the exemption:
	Answer "YES" or "NO"
	If you answered "NO" to Question 6, please answer Question 7. If you answered "YES" to Question 6, please skip to Question 8.
7.	That Defendants failed to pay Carlos Lopez the overtime wages
	required by law:
	Answer "YES" or "NO"
	If "YES," in what amount
	Please answer Question 8.
8.	That Defendants failed to pay Carlos Lopez bonuses and/or
	commissions under their breach of contract-related counts:
	Answer "YES" or "NO"
	If "YES," in what amount

Please answer Question 9.

Mariana Lopez

9.	That Mariana Lopez was an employee covered under the FLSA as
	defined in 29 U.S.C. 203(e)(1), of:
	(Please mark "YES" or "NO" for each you find was an employer):
	Avant Assurance Inc.
	Reinier Cortes
	Andrea Gonzalez Capote
	If you answered "YES" to one or more of the above, please answer Question 10. If you answered "NO" to all the above, please skip to Question 12.
10	.That Mariana Lopez was exempt from the overtime pay provisions of
	the FLSA pursuant to the exemption:
	Answer "YES" or "NO"
	If you answered "NO" to Question 10, please answer Question 11. If you answered "YES" to Question 10, please skip to Question 13.
11	.That Defendants failed to pay Mariana Lopez the overtime wages
	required by law:
	Answer "YES" or "NO"
	If "YES," in what amount
	Please answer Ouestion 12.

12. That Defendants failed to pay Mariana Lopez bonuses and/or
commissions under their breach of contract-related counts:
Answer "YES" or "NO"
If "YES," in what amount
Please answer Question 13.
Rafaela Valiente
13. That Rafaela Valiente was an employee covered under the FLSA as
defined in 29 U.S.C. 203(e)(1), of:
(Please mark "YES" or "NO" for each you find was an employer):
Avant Assurance Inc.
Reinier Cortes
Andrea Gonzalez Capote
If you answered "YES" to one or more of the above, please answer Question 14. If you answered "NO" to all the above, please skip to Question 16.
14. That Rafaela Valiente was exempt from the overtime pay provisions of
the FLSA pursuant to the exemption:
Answer "YES" or "NO"
If you answered "NO" to Question 14, please answer Question 15. If you answered "YES" to Question 14, please skip to Question 16.
15. That Defendants failed to pay Rafaela Valiente the overtime wages
required by law:

Answer "YES" or "NO"
If "YES," in what amount
Please answer Question 16.
16. That Defendants failed to pay Rafaela Valiente bonuses and/or
commissions under their breach of contract-related counts:
Answer "YES" or "NO"
If "YES," in what amount
SO SAY WE ALL.
Foreperson's Signature
Date: